

March 14, 1975

the SID to take care of roads and it certainly should be done. So I'd support Senator Cavanaugh and let's find out what the truth really is about these SID's and there development and who pays for services granted.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, just briefly, I think the bill can go ahead. The body will perhaps recall a year ago we did pass legislation which made it, the SID's could be...and unincorporated areas could be maintained by the county and the only requirement was that the streets had to be built to the standards that was acceptable to the city and the county. So, by and large, that problem can be resolved internally by those people who live there, if they desire, and all that we are talking about here is snow and ice removal and, in that instance, most of these areas do not have the equipment to do it with and I am aware of the...in Lancaster has, I think, something like four miles or less of streets. It is less than that in the SID but all areas it is something like less than four miles which is no big...no big problem and I think ice and snow ought to be able to be removed from these areas.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh, are you ready to close debate by way of reply.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, I would close by saying that Douglas County has, contrary to Senator Dickinson's estimate, probably about 150 or 160 SIDs and I would be staggered to estimate the number of miles and that is why I say that the fiscal impact and this bill of \$50,000 is absolutely absurd. There is about 200 active SIDs or existing SIDs in the state of Nebraska. It is an awful lot of territory and we all know the level of snow fall in the state of Nebraska and the kind of expense that that places on snow removal and, if we are going to shift this burden from somebody to somebody else, let's be sure who we are shifting it from and to and whether that is fair and I think it is an important question and involves a lot of money and it involves a lot of people. It is not the kind of thing, I think, is appropriate to let go and say you can touch it up on Select File because the answers to those questions make this either a very good bill or a very bad bill. But you don't move a bill ahead until you have answers to the important questions. If there is some technicality that needs to be remedied in a bill, let it go to Select File but the fundamental questions of this bill haven't been answered. The fundamental information required to make a good judgement on this bill hasn't been supplied and that's why the motion to bracket, in this case, is appropriate and it is not appropriate to let this thing slip across Select File where somebody might forget about it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Koch, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR KOCH: I think we understand the bill and I rise to call the previous question.

PRESIDENT: Well, the debate is closed.

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you.